EPJ manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

arXiv:1204.0863v2 [hep-ph] 11 May 2012

Heavy quarkonium 2S states in light-front quark model

Tao Peng[1] and Bo-Qiang Ma[1,2a]

[1] School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
[2] Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Received: date / Revised version: date

Abstract. We study the charmonium 2S states ψ' and η_c', and the bottomonium 2S states Υ' and η_b', using the light-front quark model and the 2S state wave function of harmonic oscillator as the approximation of the 2S quarkonium wave function. The decay constants, transition form factors and masses of these mesons are calculated and compared with experimental data. Predictions of quantities such as $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c')$ are made. The 2S wave function may help us learn more about the structure of these heavy quarkonia.

PACS. 14.40.Pq Heavy quarkonia – 13.20.Gd Decays of J/ψ, Υ, and other quarkonia – 11.15.Tk Other nonperturbative techniques – 13.40.Gp Electromagnetic form factors

1 Introduction

Charmonium physics has long been an interesting issue as it is related with both the perturbative and non-perturbative QCD. Charmonia not only provide us with the opportunity to investigate the interactions between the constituent quarks and the structure of quarkonia, but also the chance to learn and understand the QCD dynamics better. As exited states of charmonia, ψ' and η_c' have been studied by many authors. The decay widths of $\psi' \to e^+e^-$ and $\eta_c' \to 2\gamma$ were calculated with both relativistic and QCD radiative corrections [1] and the result $\psi' \to e^+e^-$ is in agreement with experimental data. The nonrelativistic potential model [2] and the Godfrey and Isgur (GI) model [3,4] have achieved much success, but their predictions of the decay widths of $\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c$ ($\gamma\eta_c'$) are larger than experimental data. The lattice QCD result [5,6] of $J/\psi \to \gamma\eta_c$ is consistent with experimental data, but the result of $\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c'$ has too large uncertainties. The intermediate meson loop contribution to the decays $\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c$ ($\gamma\eta_c'$) was investigated recently [7,8], and the results are closer to experimental data. We also investigate these decays, using light-front formalism and the harmonic oscillator wave functions as the approximate wave functions of the 1S and 2S quarkonia. In fact, there are still some puzzles concerning ψ', such as the well-known "$\rho\pi$ puzzle" [9,10,11,12], and the recent unanticipated small experimental value of $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta)/\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta')$ [13,14]. For the "$\rho\pi$ puzzle", Ref. [15] suggested the explanation that the $\psi'\rho\pi$ coupling is suppressed due to the mismatch between the nodeless wave function of the $\bar{c}c$ in the $|u\bar{d}\bar{c}c\rangle$ Fock state of ρ and the one-node 2S $\bar{c}c$ wave function of ψ', and our postulation of the 2S wave function of ψ' may be able to offer a numerical realization for this explanation. The BES and CLEO collaborations have conducted many experimental measurements on 1S and 2S charmonia, and the decay mode $\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c'$ is being studied by BES-III. It is then important to learn carefully about the structures and decay mechanisms of the 2S charmonia.

The 2S bottomonia have been studied by some experiments, but many data about them are still not available, such as the mass and decay data of η_b' [16]. In Ref. [1], the decay modes $\eta_b' \to 2\gamma$ and $\Upsilon' \to e^+e^-$ were studied by considering both relativistic and QCD radiative corrections, and predictions were made. With the same method of studying the 2S charmonia in the light-front quark model, we can study more decay modes, and calculate the masses of these bottomonia in this paper. From an experimental viewpoint, a large amount of bottomonia and their excited states could be produced at the forthcoming LHC or by the Belle experiment in the near future, and they could provide important tests of different predictions.

Moreover, heavy quarkonia, especially charmonia and bottomonia, act as improtant diagnostic tools to probe the properties of the background QCD matter, such as of the formation of the quark-gluon plasma (QGP), in heavy ion collisions at RHIC and LHC [17]. As has been pointed in Ref. [17], the study of heavy-quarkonium suppression at RHIC energy, which might be a signature for the QGP formation, calls for the knowledge of the light-front wave functions of the quarkonia: $f(x_\perp, x'_\perp, \tau_0) = \varphi(x_\perp)\varphi^*(x'_\perp)$, where $\varphi(x_\perp)$ can be taken as the Fourier transform of our light-front momentum space wave functions for the 1S or 2S quarkonia (Eqs. (7) and (8)), and $f(x_\perp, x'_\perp, \tau_0)$ are the essential quantities to calculate the transverse momentum distribution of quarks. Thus our wave functions can not only help us understand the structure of heavy quarkonia

---

[a] *email:* mabq@pku.edu.cn

themselves, but also be used as inputs for other physical studies.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we describe the light-front quark model and the 2S state wave function for the quarkonia. In Sec. 3, we present our numerical results of the decay constants, form factors and masses of these charmonia and bottomonia, and compare them with experimental data. A brief summary is given in Sec. 4.

2 Model description

Heavy quarkonia have been studied by non-relativistic treatments [18,19,20], but in some occasions related to non-perturbative scales, they have to use model dependent methods. And as the virtual photon momentum Q^2 increases, the relativistic effects become important. So it is useful to study quarkonia in a relativistic treatment. Several powerful non-perturbative tools have been developed to study the structure and decays of mesons, such as the QCD sum-rule technique and the lattice gauge theory. The light-front quark model is also an important model to do such studies [21,22,23], and it has a number of salient features. Light-front quark model includes some important relativistic effects that are neglected in the traditional constituent quark model, and the vacuum in the light-cone coordinate is simple because the Fock vacuum is the exact eigenstate of the full Hamiltoian. Light-front quark model has been successfully applied in many investigation of hadron structures [31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,24,25,26,27,28,29,30].

In the light-front quark model, the states of quarkonia can be described by the Fock state expansion

$$|M\rangle = \sum |q\bar{q}\rangle\psi_{q\bar{q}} + \sum |q\bar{q}g\rangle\psi_{q\bar{q}g} + \cdots, \qquad (1)$$

and to simplify the problem, we adopt the lowest order of the above expansions and take only the quark-antiquark valence states of the mesons into consideration.

The quarkonium wave function in light-front formalism is [21,22,39]

$$|M(P^+, \mathbf{P}_\perp, S_z)\rangle = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}16\pi^3} \cdot\phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)\chi_M^{S_z}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \qquad (2)$$

with the momentum of the struck quark being $(xP^+, [m^2 + (x\mathbf{P}_\perp + \mathbf{k}_\perp)^2]/(xP^+), x\mathbf{P}_\perp + \mathbf{k}_\perp)$, and λ_i being the helicity of the i-th constituent quark. $\phi(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ is the radial wave function, and $\chi_M^{S_z}(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is the light-front spin wave function, which is related to the instant-form spin wave function by the Melosh-Wigner rotation [40,41,42,43,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]

$$\begin{cases} \chi_i^\uparrow(T) = w_i[(k_i^+ + m_i)\chi_i^\uparrow(F) - k_i^R\chi_i^\downarrow(F)], \\ \chi_i^\downarrow(T) = w_i[(k_i^+ + m_i)\chi_i^\downarrow(F) + k_i^L\chi_i^\uparrow(F)], \end{cases} \qquad (3)$$

where $w_i = 1/\sqrt{2k_i^+(k^0 + m_i)}$, $k^{R,L} = k^1 \pm k^2$, $k^+ = k^0 + k^3 = x\mathcal{M}$, m_i is the mass of the constituent quark, and the invariant mass of the composite system $\mathcal{M} \equiv \sqrt{(\mathbf{k}_\perp{}^2 + m_1^2)/x + (\mathbf{k}_\perp{}^2 + m_2^2)/(1-x)}$. The Melosh-Wigner rotation is an important ingredient of light-front quark model and plays an essential role in explaining the "proton spin puzzle" [24,25,26,27,28,29,30]. In the above formalism, the Drell-Yan-West ($q^+ = 0$) frame [44,45] is used because only valence contributions are needed in this frame when studying the decay of quarkonia.

For the radial wave function ϕ, the harmonic oscillator wave function has been adopted to describe the 1S state mesons [39,36,37,38], and it can well explain experimental data. So we try to go further to use the 2S state harmonic oscillator wave function as the approximate wave function of the 2S quarkonia. The wave functions of the 1S and 2S states of the non-relativistic 3-dimensional isotropical harmonic oscillator in momentum space are

$$\varphi^{1S}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4}(\alpha\hbar)^{3/2}}\exp(-\frac{p^2}{2\alpha^2\hbar^2}), \qquad (4)$$

$$\varphi^{2S}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3\pi^{3/4}(\alpha\hbar)^{7/2}}(p^2 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2\hbar^2)\exp(-\frac{p^2}{2\alpha^2\hbar^2}), \qquad (5)$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{\mu\omega/\hbar}$, μ and ω are the mass of the oscillating particle and the frequency of the corresponding classical oscillator respectively.

We use the connection between the equal-time wave function in the rest frame and the light-front wave function suggested by Brodsky-Huang-Lepage [21,22,39], for the quarkonia with $m_1 = m_2 \equiv m_q$,

$$p^2 \longleftrightarrow \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m_q^2}{4x(1-x)} - m_q^2 \qquad (6)$$

and we use the prescription in Ref. [46] to extend the non-relativistic form wave function into a relativistic one [36]. Then we have the corresponding relativistic wave functions in light-front formalism

$$\phi^{1S}(x_i, \mathbf{k}_{i\perp}) = \frac{4\pi^{3/4}}{\beta^{3/2}}\sqrt{\frac{\partial k_z}{\partial x}}\exp(-\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2\beta^2}), \qquad (7)$$

$$\phi^{2S}(x_i, \mathbf{k}_{i\perp}) = \frac{4\sqrt{6}\pi^{3/4}}{3\beta^{7/2}}\sqrt{\frac{\partial k_z}{\partial x}}(\mathbf{k}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\beta^2)\exp(-\frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{2\beta^2}), \qquad (8)$$

where β is the parameter equivalent with α in Eqs. (4) and (5), and its value can be chosen to fit experimental data. The longitudinal momentum $k_z = (x - 1/2)\mathcal{M} + (m_2^2 - m_1^2)/2\mathcal{M}$, one can easily check that this is equivalent to Eq. (6). The factor $\sqrt{\partial k_z/\partial x}$ in the above two equations comes from the Jacobian of the transformation $(x, \mathbf{k}) \to (\mathbf{k}, k_z)$, and the normalization factors are from the requirement of the normalization of the total wave function [47].

Using the above formalism and wave functions, we can calculate the decay constants and transition form factors of the quarkonia [48,49].

In the $V \to e^+e^-$ process, the decay constant of the vector meson V is defined by

$$\langle 0|j_\mu|V(p, S_z)\rangle = M_V f_V \epsilon_\mu(S_z), \qquad (9)$$

and with the same method as Ref. [50], we have, for the vector quarkonium,

$$f_V = 2\sqrt{6}\ e_q \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}\ \phi_V(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \frac{2\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m_q(\mathcal{M} + 2m_q)}{\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m_q^2(\mathcal{M} + 2m_q)}}, \tag{10}$$

where m_q and e_q is the mass and electric charge of the constituent quark of the quarkonium respectively ($e_q = 2/3$ for charmonia, and $-1/3$ for bottomonia).

In the $P \to \gamma\gamma^*$ process, the transition form factor of the pseudoscalar meson P is defined by

$$\langle\gamma(p-q)|J^\mu|P(p,\lambda)\rangle = ie^2 F_{P\to\gamma\gamma^*}(Q^2)\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}p_\nu\epsilon_\rho(p-q,\lambda)q_\sigma, \tag{11}$$

and we have the formula for the pseudoscalar quarkonium

$$F_{P\to\gamma\gamma^*}(Q^2) = 4\sqrt{6}\ e_q^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\ \phi_P(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \frac{m_q}{x\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m^2}} \frac{x(1-x)}{m_q^2 + \mathbf{k}_\perp^{\prime 2}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{k}'_\perp = \mathbf{k}_\perp - (1-x)\mathbf{q}_\perp$, and $Q^2 = -q^2 = \mathbf{q}_\perp^2$, q is the momentum of the virtual photon.

The radiative transition form factor between a vector meson V and a pseudoscalar meson P is defined by

$$\langle P(p')|J^\mu|V(p,\lambda)\rangle = ieF_{V\to\gamma P}(Q^2)\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\epsilon_\nu(p,\lambda)p'_\rho p_\sigma, \tag{13}$$

and we have

$$F_{V\to\gamma P}(Q^2) = 4\ e_q \int \frac{\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\ \phi_P(x, \mathbf{k}'_\perp)\phi_V(x, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \cdot \frac{m_q(\mathcal{M} + 2m_q)(1-x) + 2(1-x)\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \sin^2\theta}{(\mathcal{M} + 2m_q)\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m_q^2}\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^{\prime 2} + m_q^2}}, \tag{14}$$

where θ is the angle between $\mathbf{k}_\perp$ and $\mathbf{q}_\perp$.

The above quantities are related to the decay width of the quarkonium by [48]

$$\Gamma(V \to e^+e^-) = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2 f_V^2}{3M_V}, \tag{15}$$

$$\Gamma(P \to \gamma\gamma) = \frac{1}{4}\pi\alpha^2 M_P^3 |F_{P\to\gamma\gamma^*}(0)|^2, \tag{16}$$

$$\Gamma_{V\to\gamma P} = \frac{\alpha}{3}\,|F_{V\to\gamma^* P}(0)|^2 \left(\frac{M_V^2 - M_P^2}{2M_V}\right)^3. \tag{17}$$

We can also calculate the mass of the quarkonium, using the QCD-motivated Hamiltonian for mesons [51]

$$H_{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{m_q^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} + \sqrt{m_{\bar{q}}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2} + V_{q\bar{q}}, \tag{18}$$

where $\mathbf{k}$ is the momentum of the constituent quark, and

$$V_{q\bar{q}} = a + br^2 - \frac{4\alpha_s}{3r} + \frac{2\mathbf{S}_q \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\bar{q}}}{3m_q m_{\bar{q}}} \nabla^2 V_{\mathrm{coul}}, \tag{19}$$

with the last term being the hyperfine interaction that causes the mass splitting between vector and pseudoscalar mesons. Here we choose the confining potential (the second term) to be the harmonic oscillator potential rather than the linear potential in order to keep consistency with our harmonic oscillator wave function for the quarkonium. The values of parameters a, b and α_s were given in Ref [51].

The mass of the meson is obtained as $M_{q\bar{q}} = \langle\phi|H_{q\bar{q}}|\phi\rangle$ [51]. For the 2S quarkonium, we have

$$M_{q\bar{q}} = \frac{16}{3\sqrt{\pi}\beta^7}\int_0^\infty (\sqrt{m_q^2 + p^2})p^2(p^2 - \frac{3}{2}\beta^2)^2 e^{-p^2/\beta^2}\mathrm{d}p + a + \frac{7b}{2\beta^2} - \frac{20\alpha_s\beta}{9\sqrt{\pi}} + \begin{cases} \frac{4\alpha_s\beta^3}{3\sqrt{\pi}m_q^2} & \text{(vector quarkonia)}, \\ -\frac{4\alpha_s\beta^3}{\sqrt{\pi}m_q^2} & \text{(pseudoscalar quarkonia)}, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

and such formula of the mass of the 1S quarkonium can be found in Ref [52].

3 Numerical results

In our numerical calculation, the parameter β in the wave function and the mass of the constituent quark m_q were chosen to fit experimental data. Since the only difference between the vector and pseudoscalar quarkonia that share the same energy quantum number (n) is the hyperfine interaction term in this model, we choose the same β for them. For charmonia, m_c and $\beta_{J/\psi}$ (β_{η_c}) were fixed by Refs. [47,52], and their results are in good agreement with experimental data, so we use their values of m_c and $\beta_{J/\psi}$ (β_{η_c}), and we only have to fix the parameter $\beta_{\psi'}(\beta_{\eta'_c})$. For the bottomoina, we fix all the parameters m_b, $\beta_{\Upsilon}(\beta_{\eta_b})$ and $\beta_{\Upsilon'}(\beta_{\eta'_b})$.

The parameters of the charmonia are fixed as

$$m_c = 1.8\ \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \beta_{J/\psi}(\beta_{\eta_c}) = 0.6998\ \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \beta_{\psi'}(\beta_{\eta'_c}) = 0.630\ \mathrm{GeV}, \tag{21}$$

and our numerical results of 2S charmonia are listed in Table 1. The numerical results of 1S charmonia can be found in Refs. [47,52]. The transition form factors $F_{\psi'\to\eta'_c\gamma^*}(0)$ and $F_{\eta'_c\to\gamma\gamma^*}(0)$ are our predictions, and we see from the table that they are well below experimental upper limits.

Table 1. Numerical results (GeV) of 2S charmonia.

	Experiment [16]	Theory
$F_{\psi'\to\eta_c\gamma^*}(0)$	0.0392±0.0031	0.0402
$f_{\psi'}(\psi' \to e^+e^-)$	0.1910±0.0057	0.2474
$M_{\psi'}$	3.686093±0.000034	3.778
$M_{\eta'_c}$	3.637±0.004	3.637
$F_{\psi'\to\eta'_c\gamma^*}(0)$	< 0.9006	0.6292
$F_{\eta'_c\to\gamma\gamma^*}(0)$	< 0.0590	0.0271

We can also obtain the branching ratios of the two decay modes using Eqs. (16) and (17) and the total widths of ψ' and η_c' [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c') &= 3.9012 \times 10^{-4}, \\ \mathrm{Br}(\eta_c' \to 2\gamma) &= 1.0555 \times 10^{-4}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

BES-III collaboration reported very recently the first measurement of the branching ratio $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c') = (4.7 \pm 0.9_{\mathrm{stat}} \pm 3.0_{\mathrm{sys}}) \times 10^{-4}$ [53], and our prediction in Eq. (22) is in agreement with the preliminary data within error bars. The very recent theoretical study in Ref. [8] gives $\Gamma(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c') = 0.08^{+0.03}_{-0.03}$ keV, and converted into the branching ratio using the total width of ψ' [16], it is $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c') = (2.7972 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$. Other theoretical predictions for $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c')$ fall in a range of $(0.1 - 6.2) \times 10^{-4}$ [54].

Assuming that η_c and η_c' have equal branching fractions to $K_S K \pi$, Ref. [55] obtained the experimental data $\Gamma_{\gamma\gamma}(\eta_c') = 1.3 \pm 0.6$ keV, using the total width of η_c' [16], the branching ratio is $\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c' \to 2\gamma) = (0.9286 \pm 0.63) \times 10^{-4}$. The theoretical prediction in Ref. [1], converted into branching ratio, gives $\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c' \to 2\gamma) = 1.4286 \times 10^{-4}$. We see that our prediction in Eq. (22) is close to these data. However, the accurate experimental data for this decay mode is still not available, and only the upper limit $\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c' \to 2\gamma) < 5 \times 10^{-4}$ is given [16]. Future experimental measurements at BES and CLEO may provide tests for these predictions of $\mathrm{Br}(\psi' \to \gamma\eta_c')$ and $\mathrm{Br}(\eta_c' \to 2\gamma)$.

For bottomonia, the parameters are fixed as

$$\begin{aligned} &m_b = 5.1 \text{ GeV}, \quad \beta_{\Upsilon}(\beta_{\eta_b}) = 1.1656 \text{ GeV}, \\ &\beta_{\Upsilon'}(\beta_{\eta_b'}) = 1.1050 \text{ GeV}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and our numerical results of the 1S and 2S bottomonia are listed in Table 2. Our results give the prediction $\Gamma(\eta_b' \to 2\gamma) = 0.1494$ keV, compared with the prediction given in Ref. [1] $\Gamma(\eta_b' \to 2\gamma) = 0.21$ keV. Future experiments at LHC or by the Belle experiment on η_b' can not only test these predictions, but also help us learn more about this meson by providing more experimental information about it.

Table 2. Numerical results (in units of GeV) of the 1S and 2S bottomonia.

	Experiment [16]	Theory
$f_{\Upsilon'}(\Upsilon' \to e^+e^-)$	0.1657±0.0097	0.1944
$f_{\Upsilon}(\Upsilon \to e^+e^-)$	0.2384±0.0044	0.1822
$F_{\Upsilon' \to \eta_b \gamma^*}(0)$	-0.0047±0.0009	-0.0047
$M_{\Upsilon'}$	10.0233±0.00031	10.0592
M_{Υ}	9.46030±0.00026	9.4087
M_{η_b}	9.3909±0.0028	9.3346
$M_{\eta_b'}$	?	9.9644
$F_{\eta_b' \to \gamma\gamma^*}(0)$	?	0.0019
$F_{\eta_b \to \gamma\gamma^*}(0)$	?	0.0020
$F_{\Upsilon' \to \eta_b' \gamma^*}(0)$	?	-0.1216
$F_{\Upsilon \to \eta_b \gamma^*}(0)$	?	-0.1261

The small values of the experimental data for the branching ratio of the mode $V(2S) \to \gamma P(1S)$ in Table 1 and Table 2 can be easily understood with our wave functions, as the $2S$ and $1S$ wave functions are orthogonal to each other and their overlap in Eq. (13) is suppressed.

Although nonrelativistic models have provided efficient and powerful theoretical tools to handle various problems related to hadron structure, the relativistic models have been successful in many investigation of hadron structures [31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]. It is thus necessary to make an estimate of the effect due to nonrelativistic to relativistic treatments [56]. For simplicity, we assess the non-relativistic to relativistic effects by letting $\mathbf{k}_\perp^2$ of $\sqrt{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 + m_q^2}$ in the expressions of the decay constants and form factors to be zero. For examples, after this procedure, we have $F_{\psi' \to \eta_c \gamma^*}(0) = 0.1167$ GeV, compared with $F_{\psi' \to \eta_c \gamma^*}(0) = 0.0402$ GeV/0.0392 GeV from the relativistic treatment / experimental data, and $f_{\Upsilon'}(\Upsilon' \to e^+e^-) = 0.2159$ GeV compared with $f_{\Upsilon'}(\Upsilon' \to e^+e^-) = 0.1944$ GeV/0.1657 GeV from the relativistic treatment / experimental data. We see that the relativistic treatment is needed to describe the experimental data well.

4 summary

In this work, we studied the 2S quarkonia ψ', η_c', Υ' and η_b' in light-front quark model. Similar with the 1S harmonic oscillator wave function that was commonly used as the 1S quarkonium wave function in light-front quark model studies, we tried to use the 2S harmonic oscillator wave function as the 2S quarkonium wave function. The decay constants and transition form factors of these quarkonia are calculated. Using the QCD-motivated Hamiltonian for mesons, we also calculated masses of these quarkonia. Our numerical results of these quantities are in agreement with experimental data. Predictions of transition form factors and masses of these quarkonia are made, and these predictions can be tested by future experiments. The 1S and 2S wave functions could also be used as inputs to study other problems such as the “$\rho\pi$ puzzle” and the suppression of heavy-quarkonia at RHIC energy.

This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No. 11021092, No. 10975003, No. 11035003, and No. 11120101004) and by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (China).

References

1. H.-W. Huang, J.-H. Liu, J. Tang and K.-T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 368 (1997).
2. T. Appelquist, A. De Rujula, H.D. Politzer and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 365 (1975).
3. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).
4. T. Barnes, S. Godfrey and E.S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 054026 (2005).

5. J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards and D.G. Richards, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 074507 (2006).
6. J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards and C.E. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094504 (2009).
7. G. Li and Q. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **670**, 55 (2008).
8. G. Li and Q. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074005 (2011).
9. M.E.B. Franklin, Ph.D. Thesis, Stanford University, 1982 (unpublished).
10. M.E.B. Franklin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 963 (1983).
11. G. Trilling, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. **43**, C3-81 (1982).
12. E. Bloom, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. **43**, C3-407 (1982).
13. T.K. Pedlar *et al*, (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **79**, 111101 (2009).
14. M. Ablikim *et al* (BESIII Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 261801 (2010).
15. S.J. Brodsky and M. Karliner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4682 (1997).
16. C. Amsler, *et al.* (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **37**, 075021 (2010).
17. B. Wu and B.-Q. Ma, Nucl. Phys. A **848**, 230 (2010).
18. T. Appelquist and H. D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 43 (1975).
19. R. Barbieri, R. Gatto, and R. Kogerler, Phys. Lett. B **60**, 183 (1976).
20. C. Quigg and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rept. **56**, 167-235 (1979).
21. S.J. Brodsky, T. Huang, G.P. Lepage, in *Quarks and Nuclear Forces*, edited by D. Fries and B. Zeitnitz (Springer, Tracts in Modern Physics, Vol. 100) (Springer, New York, 1982).
22. S.J. Brodsky, T. Huang and G.P. Lepage, in Particles and Fields-2, edited by A.Z. Capri and A.N. Kamal (Plenum, New York, 1983), p.143.
23. S.J. Brodsky, H.-C. Pauli and S.S. Pinsky, Phys. Rep. **301**, 299 (1998).
24. B.-Q. Ma, J. Phys. G **17**, L53 (1991).
25. B.-Q. Ma, Q.-R. Zhang, Z. Phys. C **58**, 479 (1993).
26. B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Lett. B **375**, 320 (1996).
27. B.-Q. Ma and A. Schäfer, Phys. Lett. B **378**, 307 (1996).
28. B.-Q. Ma, I. Schmidt, and J. Soffer, Phys. Lett. B **441**, 461 (1998).
29. B.-Q. Ma and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 096008 (1998).
30. B.-Q. Ma, I. Schmidt, and J.-J. Yang, Eur. Phys. J. A **12**, 353 (2001).
31. B.-W. Xiao, X. Qian and B.-Q. Ma, Eur. Phys. J.A, **15**, 523 (2002).
32. B.-W. Xiao and B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 034020 (2003).
33. B.-W. Xiao and B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014034 (2005).
34. J. Yu, B.-W. Xiao and B.-Q. Ma, J. Phys. G **34**, 1845 (2007).
35. T. Peng, B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 034003 (2011).
36. B.-Q. Ma, Z. Phys. A **345**, 321 (1993);
37. J. Yu, T. Wang, C.-R. Ji and B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 074009 (2007).
38. T. Wang, T. Liu, D.-X. Zhang, and B.-Q. Ma, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1758 (2011).
39. T. Huang, B.-Q. Ma and Q.-X. Shen, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 1490 (1994).
40. L.A. Kondratyuk and M.V. Terentev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **31**, 561 (1980) [Yad. Fiz. **31**, 1087 (1980)].
41. H.J. Melosh, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1095 (1974).
42. E. Wigner, Ann. Math. **40**, 149 (1939).
43. B.-Q Ma and T. Huang, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **21**, 765 (1995).
44. S.D. Drell and T.M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 181 (1970).
45. G. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 1206 (1970).
46. P.L. Chung, F. Coester, W.N. Polyzou, Phys. Lett. B **205**, 545 (1995).
47. H.-M. Choi, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 073016 (2007).
48. W. Qian and B.-Q. Ma, Eur. Phys. J. C **65**, 457 (2010).
49. H.-M. Choi and C.-R. Ji, Nucl. Phy. A **618**, 291 (1997).
50. W. Qian and B.-Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074002 (2008).
51. H.-M. Choi and C.-R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 074015 (1999).
52. H.-M. Choi and C.-R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 054016 (2009).
53. H.-B. Li, Plenary talk at XIV International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy (Hadron-2011), June 13-17, 2011, München, Germany.
54. D. Cronin-Hennessy *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **81**, 052002 (2010).
55. D.M. Asner *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 142001 (2004).
56. C.-W. Hwang and R.-S. Guo, Phys. Rev. D 82 , 034021 (2010)